



 REPORT NUMBER:
 PI-01423-20

 RECEIVING DATE:
 Sep. 10, 2020

 ISSUE DATE:
 Sep. 11, 2020

Applicant : American Safety Power Tools (Pvt) Ltd.

Contact person : Mr. Zia

Address : Plot 1&2, 12&13 E-IV Phase II, Export Processing Zone, Karachi.

Contact details : Tel: 0312-2326337

Fax: / Email: /

Manufacturer : American Safety Power Tools Pvt Ltd.

Buyer : Self-Reference

Brand : /
Label : /
Fabric : /
Fabric Weight : /
Content : /
Construction : /
Color : Blue
Style No : /
Reference : /
Program : /
Design : /
Country of Origin : /

Sample Description : Face Mask

Pervious Report No : /
End Use : /
Test Standard : /

Signed on the behalf of: Tti Testing Laboratories

Ali Ashraf

General Manager Operations

This test report is governed by the terms and conditions available overleaf or accessible at http://ttilabs.net/terms&conditions.html. Attention is drawn to the limitations of liability, indemnification and jurisdictional issues defined therein. The report is issued strictly based on the testing of the samples submitted by the customer. This test report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Tti Testing Laboratories.

347-S, Quaid-e-Azam Industrial Estate, Kot Lakhpat, Lahore-54770, Pakistan. Tel: (92-42) 111 786 001, Fax: (92-42) 3515 4555, URL: www.ttilabs.net





REPORT NUMBER: PI-01423-20

Test Results:

1. Particulate Filtration Efficiency (PFE):

ASTM F2299

This procedure was performed to evaluate the non-viable particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of the test article. Monodispersed polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) were nebulized (atomized), dried, and passed through the test article. The particles that passed through the test article were enumerated using a laser particle counter.

Three one-minute counts were performed, with the test article in the system, and the results averaged. Three one-minute control counts were performed, without a test article in the system, before and after each test article and the counts were averaged. Control counts were performed to determine the average number of particles delivered to the test article. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the average number of particles penetrating the test article compared to the average of the control values.

The procedure employed the basic particle filtration method described in ASTM F2299, with some exceptions; notably the procedure incorporated a non-neutralized challenge. In real use, particles carry a charge, thus this challenge represents a more natural state. The non-neutralized aerosol is also specified in the FDA guidance document on surgical face masks. All test method acceptance criteria were met.

Area Tested	91.5 cm ²
Particle Size	0.1 – 1.0 μm
Laboratory Conditions	21±3°C, 40±10% relative humidity (RH)

Particle filtration efficiency at different particle sizes

Filtration	Filtration	Filtration	Filtration
Efficiency(%)0.1 μm	Efficiency(%)0.3 μm	Efficiency(%)0.5 μm	Efficiency(%)1.0 μm
96.2	98.9	99.8	99.9

Note: Results reported on the submitted sample on an as received basis.


This test report is governed by the terms and conditions available overleaf or accessible at http://ttilabs.net/terms&conditions.html. Attention is drawn to the limitations of liability, indemnification and jurisdictional issues defined therein. The report is issued strictly based on the testing of the samples submitted by the customer. This test report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Tti Testing Laboratories.





REPORT NUMBER: PI-01423-20

Image of submitted sample



-----END OF REPORT-----

This test report is governed by the terms and conditions available overleaf or accessible at http://ttilabs.net/terms&conditions.html. Attention is drawn to the limitations of liability, indemnification and jurisdictional issues defined therein. The report is issued strictly based on the testing of the samples submitted by the customer. This test report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Tti Testing Laboratories.



Sponsor: Mr. Mohammed Abdul Karim American Safety PowerTool (Pvt) Ltd. Plot no. 1&2, 12 & 13, E-IV, Phase II Karachi, 75000 **PAKISTAN**

Latex Particle Challenge Final Report

Test Article: MMS-17786 Study Number: 1342897-S01 Study Received Date: 17 Sep 2020

> Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC 6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0005 Rev 08 Test Procedure(s):

Deviation(s):

Summary: This procedure was performed to evaluate the non-viable particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of the test article. Monodispersed polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) were nebulized (atomized), dried, and passed through the test article. The particles that passed through the test article were enumerated using a laser particle counter.

A one-minute count was performed, with the test article in the system. A one-minute control count was performed, without a test article in the system, before and after each test article. Control counts were performed to determine the average number of particles delivered to the test article. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the number of particles penetrating the test article compared to the average of the control values. During testing and controls, the air flow rate is maintained at 1 cubic foot per minute (CFM) ± 5%.

The procedure employed the basic particle filtration method described in ASTM F2299, with some exceptions; notably the procedure incorporated a non-neutralized challenge. In real use, particles carry a charge, thus this challenge represents a more natural state. The non-neutralized aerosol is also specified in the FDA guidance document on surgical face masks. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

> Test Side: Inside 91.5 cm² Area Tested: Particle Size: 0.1 µm

Laboratory Conditions: 22.4°C, 21% relative humidity (RH) at 1528; 21.9°C, 22% RH at

1633

Average Filtration Efficiency: 99.913%

Standard Deviation: 0.0455





Christopher Acker electronically approved for

Curtis Gerow

26 Nov 2020 03:45 (+00:00)

Study Completion Date and Time

Study Director



Results:

Test Article Number	Test Article Counts	Average Control Counts	Filtration Efficiency (%)
1	5	10,916	99.954
2	10	10,934	99.909
3	17	10,889	99.84
4	10	10,531	99.905
5	5	10,999	99.955

cf



Sponsor:
Mr. Mohammed Abdul Karim
American Safety PowerTool (Pvt) Ltd.
Plot no. 1&2, 12 & 13, E-IV, Phase II
Karachi, 75150
PAKISTAN

Bacterial Filtration Efficiency (BFE) Final Report

Test Article: MMS-17786 Study Number: 1342893-S01

Study Received Date: 17 Sep 2020

Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC 6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0004 Rev 18

Deviation(s): None

Summary: The BFE test is performed to determine the filtration efficiency of test articles by comparing the bacterial control counts upstream of the test article to the bacterial counts downstream. A suspension of *Staphylococcus aureus* was aerosolized using a nebulizer and delivered to the test article at a constant flow rate and fixed air pressure. The challenge delivery was maintained at $1.7 - 3.0 \times 10^3$ colony forming units (CFU) with a mean particle size (MPS) of $3.0 \pm 0.3 \, \mu m$. The aerosols were drawn through a six-stage, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. This test method complies with ASTM F2101-19 and EN 14683:2019, Annex B.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside BFE Test Area: ~40 cm²

BFE Flow Rate: 28.3 Liters per minute (L/min)

Conditioning Parameters: $85 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) and 21 ± 5 °C for a minimum of 4 hours

Test Article Dimensions: ~168 mm x ~158 mm

Positive Control Average: 2.5 x 10³ CFU Negative Monitor Count: <1 CFU

MPS: 2.9 μm





David Brown electronically approved for

James Luskin

26 Oct 2020 23:47 (+00:00)

Study Director

Study Completion Date and Time

801-290-7500

nelsonlabs.com

sales@nelsonlabs.com

FRT0004-0001 Rev 22



Results:

Test Article Number	Percent BFE (%)
1	>99.9
2	>99.9 ^a
3	>99.9 ^a
4	>99.9
5	>99.9 ^a

^a There were no detected colonies on any of the Andersen sampler plates for this test article.

The filtration efficiency percentages were calculated using the following equation:

$$\% BFE = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C = Positive control average

T = Plate count total recovered downstream of the test article Note: The plate count total is available upon request

jhs



Sponsor: Mr. Mohammed Abdul Karim American Safety PowerTool (Pvt) Ltd. Plot no. 1&2, 12 & 13, E-IV, Phase II Karachi, 75150 **PAKISTAN**

Synthetic Blood Penetration Resistance Final Report

Test Article: MMS-17786 Study Number: 1342895-S01 Study Received Date: 17 Sep 2020

> Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC 6280 S. Redwood Rd.

> > Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0012 Rev 09

Deviation(s): None

Summary: This procedure was performed to evaluate surgical facemasks and other types of protective clothing materials designed to protect against fluid penetration. The purpose of this procedure is to simulate an arterial spray and evaluate the effectiveness of the test article in protecting the user from possible exposure to blood and other body fluids. The distance from the target area surface to the tip of the cannula is 30.5 cm. A test volume of 2 mL of synthetic blood was employed using the targeting plate method.

This test method was designed to comply with ASTM F1862 and ISO 22609 (as referenced in EN 14683:2019 and AS4381:2015) with the following exception: ISO 22609 requires testing to be performed in an environment with a temperature of 21 ± 5°C and a relative humidity of 85 ± 10%. Instead, testing was performed at ambient conditions within one minute of removal from the environmental chamber held at those parameters.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Number of Test Articles Tested: 32 Number of Test Articles Passed: 32

Test Side: Outside

Pre-Conditioning: Minimum of 4 hours at 21 ± 5°C and 85 ± 5% relative humidity (RH)

Test Conditions: 23.0°C and 21% RH

Results: Per ASTM F1862 and ISO 22609, an acceptable quality limit of 4.0% is met for a normal single sampling plan when ≥29 of 32 test articles show passing results.

Test Pressure: 120 mmHg (16.0 kPa)

Test Article Number	Synthetic Blood Penetration
1-32	None Seen



Sean Shepherd electronically approved for

18 Nov 2020 00:02 (+00:00) Study Completion Date and Time

Study Director

James Luskin



Sponsor: Mr. Mohammed Abdul Karim American Safety PowerTool (Pvt) Ltd. Plot no. 1&2, 12 & 13, E-IV, Phase II Karachi, 75000 PAKISTAN

Differential Pressure (Delta P) Final Report

Test Article: MMS-17786 Study Number: 1342894-S01 Study Received Date: 17 Sep 2020

Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC

6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0004 Rev 18

Deviation(s): None

Summary: The Delta P test is performed to determine the breathability of test articles by measuring the differential air pressure on either side of the test article using a manometer, at a constant flow rate. The Delta P test complies with EN 14683:2019, Annex C and ASTM F2100-19.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside

Delta P Flow Rate: 8 Liters per minute (L/min)

Conditioning Parameters: $85 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) and 21 ± 5 °C for a minimum of 4 hours

Results:

Test Article Number	Delta P (mm H ₂ O/cm ²)	Delta P (Pa/cm ²)
1	5.4	52.6
2	5.8	56.4
3	5.6	55.0
4	5.4	53.3
5	5.9	58.3





Trang Truong electronically approved for

James Luskin

02 Oct 2020 17:46 (+00:00)

Study Completion Date and Time

801-290-7500

Study Director

nelsonlabs.com

sales@nelsonlabs.com

FRT0004-0001 Rev 22 Page 1 of 1



Sponsor:
Mohammed Abdul Karim
American Safety PowerTool (Pvt) Ltd.
Plot no. 1&2, 12 & 13, E-IV, Phase II
Karachi, 75000
PAKISTAN

Flammability of Clothing Textiles Final Report

Test Article: MMS-17786 Study Number: 1342896-S01 Study Received Date: 17 Sep 2020

Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC 6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0073 Rev 06

Deviation(s): None

Summary: This procedure was performed to evaluate the flammability of plain surface clothing textiles by measuring the ease of ignition and the speed of flame spread. The parameter of time is used to separate materials into different classes, thereby assisting in a judgment of fabric suitability for clothing and protective clothing material. The test procedure was performed in accordance with the test method outlined in 16 CFR Part 1610 (a) Step 1 - testing in the original state. Step 2 - Refurbishing and testing after refurbishing, was not performed. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Article Side Tested: Outside Surface

Orientation: Machine

Test Criteria for Specimen Classification (See 16 CFR Part 1610.7):

Class	Plain Surface Textile Fabric
1	Burn time ≥3.5 seconds
2	Not applicable to plain surface textile fabrics
3	Burn time <3.5 seconds

The 16 CFR Part 1610 standard specifies that 10 replicates are to be tested if, during preliminary testing, only 1 test article exhibits flame spread and it is less than 3.5 seconds or the test articles exhibit an average flame spread less than 3.5 seconds. Five replicates are to be tested if no flame spread is observed upon preliminary testing, if only 1 test article exhibits flame spread and it is equal to or greater than 3.5 seconds, or if the average flame spread is equal to or greater than 3.5 seconds. In accordance with the standard, 5 replicates were tested for this study.





Trang Truong electronically approved for

Study Director Curtis Gerow

08 Oct 2020 22:36 (+00:00)

Study Completion Date and Time

801-290-7500

nelsonlabs.com

sales@nelsonlabs.com

FRT0073-0001 Rev 9 Page 1 of 2



Results:

Replicate Number	Time of Flame Spread
1	IBE
2	IBE
3	IBE
4	IBE
5	IBE

IBE = Test Article ignited, but extinguished

cf